iMONITRAF! Scenarios 2020 Evaluation by DPSIR Indicators

Juerg Heldstab, INFRAS Helen Lückge, Climonomics Miro Salvagni, ARPA FVG Matthias Wagner, EURAC

iMONITRAF! 3rd International Transport Forum Lyon, 31 May 2012







Alpine Transit Traffic – Policy Scenarios 2020

Three Policy Scenarios Compared to Business as Usual: Best available technology Alpine Emissions Trading System Alpine Crossing Exchange

iMONITRAF! Scenarios 2020

Scenario	2010	BAU	BAT	AETS	ACE			
HDV	100%	127%	127%	97%	86%			
PC	100%	112%	112%	112%	112%			
Freight Trains	100%	125%	125%	157%	189%			
Technology	Euro VI/6							







DPSIR Indicators

Driver	Number of HGV
Pressures	NO _x + PM10 emissions of HGV,
	CO ₂ emissions HGV
State	NO ₂ , PM10 concentration
Impact	Inhabitants exposed to high noise levels
Response	Average of all indicators for all regions







Evaluation Scheme

Scen.	. Corridors										
_	Regions										
					target	t					
					(almo	st) rea					
J.C.					misse	ed					
indicators					far of	f					
inc											







BAU Business as Usual

BAU	FREJUS		MONT	MONT BLANC		GOTTHARD		BRENNER	
	Pie	RA	RA	VdA	ССН	TI	TYR	STY	FVG
Driver	43%	43%	25%	25%	128%	128%	42%	42%	38%
Pressure 1	43%	43%	25%	25%	128%	128%	42%	42%	38%
Pressure 2	67%	53%	60%	74%	55%	55%	76%	64%	26%
State	0%	50%	50%	0%	0%	75%	50%	25%	0%
Impact	1%	8%	19%	19%	-5%	-9%	13%	11%	10%
(persons)	40	500	480	340	-950	-2'520	4'320	5'200	100
Response	42%	42%	42%	42%	42%	42%	42%	42%	42%







BAT Best Available Technology

BAT	FREJUS		MONT	MONT BLANC		GOTTHARD		BRENNER	
	Pie	RA	RA	VdA	ССН	TI	TYR	STY	FVG
Driver	43%	43%	25%	25%	128%	128%	42%	42%	38%
Pressure 1	6%	6%	-7%	-7%	69%	69%	5%	5%	3%
Pressure 2	56%	43%	49%	62%	45%	45%	65%	54%	17%
State	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	75%	25%	25%	0%
Impact	1%	8%	19%	19%	-5%	-9%	13%	11%	10%
(persons)	40	500	480	340	-950	-2'520	4'320	5'200	100
Response	29%	29%	29%	29%	29%	29%	29%	29%	29%







AETS Alpine Em. Trading System

AETS	FREJUS		MONT	BLANC	GOTTHARD		BRENNER		TARVISIO
	Pie	RA	RA	VdA	ССН	TI	TYR	STY	FVG
Driver	18%	18%	9%	9%	91%	91%	-1%	-1%	4%
Pressure 1	18%	18%	9%	9%	91%	91%	-1%	-1%	4%
Pressure 2	38%	27%	38%	51%	30%	30%	23%	14%	-6%
State	0%	50%	50%	0%	0%	75%	50%	25%	0%
Impact	23%	17%	6%	6%	-4%	-6%	2%	1%	9%
(persons)	620	1'130	160	100	-660	-1'500	700	240	90
Response	23%	23%	23%	23%	23%	23%	23%	23%	23%







ACE Alpine Crossing Exchange

ACE	FREJUS		MONT	BLANC	GOTTHARD		BREN	NNER	TARVISIO
	Pie	RA	RA	VdA	ССН	TI	TYR	STY	FVG
Driver	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Pressure 1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Pressure 2	17%	7%	27%	39%	-32%	-32%	24%	16%	-9%
State	0%	50%	50%	0%	0%	75%	50%	25%	0%
Impact	33%	20%	2%	2%	-4%	-2%	6%	5%	38%
(persons)	900	1'310	50	30	-750	-430	1'980	2'150	360
Response	9%	9%	9%	9%	9%	9%	9%	9%	9%







Conclusion

Indicator "Response" ⇔Rating of scenarios

Scenario	BAU	BAT	AETS	ACE
Ranking	4	3	2	1





